HOUSE AMENDMENT

THIS AMENDMENT ADOPTED

| Goor | H | UTH |
|------|-----|------|
| May | 12, | 2014 |

CLERK OF THE HOUSE

THE PROPERTY TAX SUBCOMMITTEE PROPOSES THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENT NO. TO S. 940 (COUNCIL\BH\940C005.BH.DG14):

REFERENCE IS TO PRINTER'S DATE 3/19/14--S.

AMEND THE BILL, AS AND IF AMENDED, SECTION 1, PAGE 3, BY ADDING TWO APPROPRIATELY LETTERED SUBSECTIONS AT THE END OF SECTION 4-10-470 TO READ:

/()(1) THE EDUCATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS SALES AND USE TAX AUTHORIZED BY THIS ARTICLE ALSO MAY BE IMPOSED IN A COUNTY WHICH DOES NOT MEET THE COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (A) SO LONG AS THE COUNTY IN

- WHICH THE TAX IS TO BE IMPOSED IS COMPRISED OF MORE THAN ONE SCHOOL DISTRICT.
- (2) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS ARTICLE, IF THE EDUCATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS SALES AND USE TAX IS IMPOSED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION, THEN:
- (A) AT LEAST TEN PERCENT OF THE PROCEEDS
 MUST BE USED TO PROVIDE PROPERTY TAX
 RELIEF BY USING THE PROCEEDS TO OFFSET THE
 EXISTING DEBT SERVICE MILLAGE LEVY ON
 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PURSUANT TO
 SECTION 4-10-445; AND
- (B) THE TAX REVENUE DISTRIBUTED TO EACH DISTRICT MUST BE IN THE PROPORTION THAT THE DISTRICT'S AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP (ADM) ATTRIBUTES TO THE TOTAL ADM OF ALL THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN THE COUNTY, LIMITED TO ADM ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COUNTY.
- () THE EDUCATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS SALES AND USE TAX AUTHORIZED BY THIS ARTICLE ALSO MAY BE IMPOSED IN A COUNTY

- WHICH DOES NOT MEET THE COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (A) SO LONG AS:
- (1) IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO THE IMPOSITION DATE, IF APPROVED:
- (A) THE COUNTY IS IMPOSING THE LOCAL OPTION SALES TAX IMPOSED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 1, AND THE COUNTY HAS NOT IMPOSED THAT TAX FOR TWENTY YEARS OR MORE, IN WHICH ANY PORTION OF A CALENDAR YEAR COUNTS AS A YEAR, AND NO OTHER LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX THAT IS ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IS IMPOSED IN THE COUNTY; AND
- (2) THE COUNTY COLLECTED AT LEAST ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IN STATE ACCOMMODATIONS TAXES AS IMPOSED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12-36-920(A) IN THE MOST RECENT FISCAL YEAR FOR WHICH FULL COLLECTION FIGURES ARE AVAILABLE.

ONCE A COUNTY MEETS THE PROVISIONS OF ITEM (1) AND THE THRESHOLD IN ITEM (2), IT

THEREAFTER REMAINS ELIGIBLE TO IMPOSE THIS TAX PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION.

RENUMBER SECTIONS TO CONFORM.

AMEND TITLE TO CONFORM.

REPORT OF THE PROPERTY TAX SUBCOMMITTEE

(Clyburn, Merrill, Huggins, Skelton & G.R. Smith - Staff Contact: Emily Heatwole)

SENATE BILL 940

Summary of Bill: TO AMEND SECTION 4/1-/470, CODE OF LAWS OF

SOUTH CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO THE EDUCATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS SALES AND USE TAX, SO AS TO ALLOW A COUNTY THAT DOES NOT COLLECT A CERTAIN AMOUNT IN ACCOMMODATIONS TAX TO IMPOSE THE SALES TAX SO LONG AS NO PORTION OF THE COUNTY AREA IS A SUBJECT TO MORE THAN

TWO PERCENT TOTAL SALES TAX.

Introduced: 01/15/2014 Received by Ways and Means: 04/01/2014

Estimated Fiscal Impact: Attached

Subcommittee Recommendation: Favorable as Amended

Full Committee Recommendation: Pending

Other Notes/Comments: CLICK HERE to Edit Notes/Comments

Statement of Estimated Local Revenue Impact

Date:

March 12, 2014

(As amended March 11, 2014 by the Senate)

Bill Number:

S. 940

Authors:

Young, Massey, Setzler, and Peeler

Committee Requesting Impact: Senate Finance Committee

Bill Summary

A bill to amend Section 4-10-470, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, relating to the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use tax, so as to allow a county that does not collect a certain amount in accommodations tax to impose the sales tax so long as no portion of the county area is subject to more than two percent total sales tax.

REVENUE IMPACT 1/

This bill, as amended, would affect the eligibility requirements concerning the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax. Currently, Charleston and Horry County are eligible and are imposing this tax. This bill, as amended would allow the following counties to impose the tax: Aiken, Beaufort, Cherokee, Chesterfield, Clarendon, Darlington, Dillon, Georgetown, Jasper, Lexington, and Marlboro. Of these counties, only Aiken, Beaufort, Cherokee, and Georgetown may impose the tax for FY 16; these counties either have no school district tax or have a school district tax which is expected to terminate. Assuming they each impose the tax; this bill would result in a \$47,754,613 increase in local revenues for FY 16.

Explanation of Amendment (03/11/14) - By the Senate

Counties, not eligible pursuant to Section 4-19-470(A), can impose the tax so long as the county area is subject to no more than a 2 percent total sales tax, has only one school district within its boundaries, and has collected at least \$750,000 from the state accommodations tax. Additionally, a county would become eligible to impose the tax if the county had imposed a local sales tax to fund education capital improvements on January 1, 2014; only after the local sales tax has been terminated can a county then impose the Education Capital Improvements sales tax. At least ten percent of the proceeds, from those counties which become eligible pursuant to Section 4-19-470(B) as proposed, must be used to provide property tax relief.

Explanation of Bill filed January 15, 2014

This bill would amend Section 4-10-470, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, relating to the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use tax, so as to allow a county that does not collect a certain amount in accommodations tax to impose the sales tax so long as no portion of the county area is subject to more than two percent total sales tax.

Based on information from the SC Department of Revenue (DOR), 25 counties impose a sales tax rate of 1 percent or below; these counties would become eligible.

Frank A. Rainwater

Analyst: Williams

Chief Economist

¹⁷ This statement meets the requirement of Section 2-7-71 for a state revenue impact by the BEA, or Section 2-7-76 for a local revenue impact of 'Section 6-1-85(B) for an estimate of the shift in local property tax incidence by the Office of Economic Research.

S. 940 COUNTY ELIGIBILITY - amended March 11, 2014

| SC COUNTIES | (B)(1)(a) | (B)(1)(b) | (B)(1)(c) | ELIGIBLE | FY 16 REVENUE |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| ABBEVILLE | ABBEVILLE | AIKEN | AIKEN | AIKEN | \$11,285,069 |
| AIKEN | AIKEN | ALLENDALE | BEAUFORT | BEAUFORT | \$24,707,335 |
| ALLENDALE | ANDERSON | BEAUFORT | CHARLESTON | GEORGETOWN | \$6,723,736 |
| ANDERSON | BARNWELL | BERKELEY | FLORENCE | | |
| BAMBERG | BEAUFORT | CALHOUN | GEORGETOWN | (B)(5)(C) | |
| BARNWELL | CALHOUN | CHARLESTON | GREENVILLE | CHEROKEE | \$5,038,473 |
| BEAUFORT | COLLETON | CHESTER | HORRY | CHESTERFIELD | |
| BERKELEY | DORCHESTER | CHESTERFIELD | LEXINGTON | CLARENDON | |
| CALHOUN | EDGEFIELD | COLLETON | RICHLAND | DARLINGTON | |
| CHARLESTON | FAIRFIELD | DARLINGTON | SPARTANBURG | DILLON | |
| CHEROKEE | GEORGETOWN | EDGEFIELD | YORK | JASPER | |
| CHESTER | GREENVILLE | FAIRFIELD | | LEXINGTON | |
| CHESTERFIELD | GREENWOOD | GEORGETOWN | | MARLBORO | |
| CLARENDON | KERSHAW | HORRY | | | |
| COLLETON | LAURENS | JASPER | | TOTAL | \$47,754,613 |
| DARLINGTON | LEXINGTON | KERSHAW | | | |
| DILLON | MCCORMICK | LANCASTER | | | |
| DORCHESTER | NEWBERRY | LEE | | | |
| EDGEFIELD | OCONEE | UNION | | | |
| FAIRFIELD | ORANGEBURG | | | | |
| FLORENCE | PICKENS | | | | |
| GEORGETOWN | SALUDA | | | | |
| GREENVILLE | SPARTANBURG | | | | |
| GREENWOOD | UNION WILLIAMSBURG | | | | |
| HAMPTON HORRY | YORK | | | | |
| JASPER | YURK | | | | |
| KERSHAW | | | | | |
| LANCASTER | | | | | |
| LAURENS | | | | | |
| LEE | | | | | |
| LEXINGTON | | | | | |
| MARION | | | | | |
| MARLBORO | | | | | |
| MCCORMICK | | | | | |
| NEWBERRY | | | | | |
| OCONEE | | | | | |
| ORANGEBURG | | | | | |
| PICKENS | | | | | |
| RICHLAND | | | | | |
| SALUDA | | | | | |
| SPARTANBURG | | | | | |
| SUMTER | | | | | |
| UNION | | | | | |
| WILLIAMSBURG | | | | | |
| YORK | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

South Carolina General Assembly

120th Session, 2013-2014

S. 940

STATUS INFORMATION

General Bill

Sponsors: Senators Young, Massey, Setzler and Peeler Document Path: 1:\council\bills\bh\26025dg14.docx

Companion/Similar bill(s): 4512

Introduced in the Senate on January 15, 2014 Introduced in the House on April 1, 2014 Last Amended on March 26, 2014 Currently residing in the House Committee on **Ways and Means**

Summary: Capital improvement sales and use tax

HISTORY OF LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS

| Date | Body | Action Description with journal page number |
|-----------|--------|---|
| 1/15/2014 | Senate | Introduced and read first time (Senate Journal-page 7) |
| 1/15/2014 | Senate | Referred to Committee on Finance (Senate Journal-page 7) |
| 2/5/2014 | Senate | Committee report: Favorable with amendment Finance (Senate Journal-page 27) |
| 2/6/2014 | Senate | Committee Amendment Adopted (Senate Journal-page 23) |
| 2/6/2014 | Senate | Amended (Senate Journal-page 23) |
| 2/27/2014 | Senate | Amended (Senate Journal-page 33) |
| 3/11/2014 | Senate | Amended (Senate Journal-page 14) |
| 3/12/2014 | | Scrivener's error corrected |
| 3/19/2014 | Senate | Amended (Senate Journal-page 30) |
| 3/20/2014 | | Scrivener's error corrected |
| 3/26/2014 | Senate | Amended (Senate Journal-page 50) |
| 3/26/2014 | Senate | Read second time (Senate Journal-page 50) |
| 3/26/2014 | Senate | Roll call Ayes-35 Nays-9 (Senate Journal-page 50) |
| 3/27/2014 | Senate | Read third time and sent to House (Senate Journal-page 20) |
| 4/1/2014 | House | Introduced and read first time (<u>House Journal-page 13</u>) |
| 4/1/2014 | House | Referred to Committee on Ways and Means (House Journal-page 13) |

View the latest legislative information at the website

VERSIONS OF THIS BILL

1/15/2014 2/5/2014 2/6/2014 2/27/2014 3/11/2014 3/12/2014 3/19/2014

 $\frac{3/20/2014}{3/26/2014}$

| 1 | Indicates Matter Stricken |
|----|--|
| 2 | Indicates New Matter |
| 3 | |
| 4 | AMENDED |
| 5 | March 26, 2014 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | S. 940 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Introduced by Senators Young, Massey, Setzler and Peeler |
| 10 | |
| 11 | S. Printed 3/19/14 |
| 12 | Read the first time January 15, 2014. |
| 13 | |
| 1/ | |

A BILL

9 10 11

TO AMEND SECTION 4-10-470, CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH 12 CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO THE EDUCATION 13 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS SALES AND USE TAX, SO AS 14 TO ALLOW A COUNTY THAT DOES NOT COLLECT A 15 CERTAIN AMOUNT IN ACCOMMODATIONS TAX TO 16 IMPOSE THE SALES TAX SO LONG AS NO PORTION OF 17 THE COUNTY AREA IS SUBJECT TO MORE THAN TWO 18 PERCENT TOTAL SALES TAX.

Amend Title To Conform

19 20

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of South 21 22 Carolina:

23

24 SECTION 1. Section 4-10-470 of the 1976 Code, as added by Act 316 of 2008, is amended to read:

26 27

34

35 36

37

39

40

"Section 4-10-470. (A) The Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax authorized by this article may only be imposed in counties which have collected at least seven million dollars in state accommodations taxes as imposed pursuant to Section 12-36-920(A) in the most recent fiscal year for which full collection figures are available. Once a county meets this threshold it thereafter remains eligible to impose this tax.

- (B)(1) The Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax authorized by this article also may be imposed in a school district without regards to the requirements of subsection (A) if:
- (a) at any time, no portion of the school district in which the tax is to be imposed is subject to more than two percent total local sales tax; and
- (b) the school district in which the tax is to be imposed encompasses the entire county area of one county and extends into 41 one adjacent county.

[940]

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of this article, if the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax is imposed pursuant to this subsection, then:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

2728

29

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

- (a) stated in calendar years, the tax may not be imposed for more than ten years;
- (b) at least ten percent of the proceeds must be used to provide property tax relief by using the proceeds to offset the existing debt service millage levy on general obligation bonds pursuant to Section 4-10-445; and
- (c) the total debt service on bonds issued by the school district resulting from the imposition, net of any premium or accrued interest, shall not exceed ninety percent of the total amount of Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax proceeds estimated to be allocated to the school district during the imposition, minus any amounts dedicated to property tax relief. The Board of Economic Advisors shall provide the estimate of the total amount.
- (3) The State Treasurer, in consultation with the governing body of the imposing district and the county treasurers and auditors of the affected counties, shall distribute and administer the revenues as provided in this article, mutatis mutandis. A resolution for the imposition of the tax in the school district must be directed to the election commissions of the affected counties and a referendum required pursuant to this article must be conducted in the school district. The question in the referendum must be revised to reflect the imposition of the tax in the school district rather than the county. If a majority of those voting in the school district approve the tax, then the tax is imposed in the school district as provided in this article and all references in this article to county, with respect to the imposition, administration, collection, and distribution of the revenues of the tax are deemed to mean the school district. Definitions included in Section 4-10-415 must be construed to extend to a tax allowed to be imposed pursuant to this subsection.
- (4) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if, within a school district there is imposed the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax pursuant to this subsection, then no other sales tax may be imposed in that school district if the subsequent imposition causes the total sales tax to exceed two percent in any portion of the school district. This limitation applies so long as this subsection is utilized to impose the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax.

[940] 2

(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if the tax imposed pursuant to this subsection and another sales tax are approved at the same referendum, and the approval of both subjects any portion of the school district to more than two percent total local sales tax, then only the tax whose approving resolution was adopted first may be imposed, and the other tax is deemed to not have been approved.

- (6) For purposes of this subsection, a sales tax is a tax levied pursuant to this chapter, pursuant to Chapter 37, Title 4, or pursuant to any local law enacted by the General Assembly.
- (C) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax authorized by this article also may be imposed in a county so long as the county or school district imposed a local sales and use tax to fund education capital improvements on January 1, 2014. The Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax may be imposed pursuant to this subsection at any time after the local sales and use tax terminates.
- (D) The Education Capital Improvements Sales and Use Tax authorized by this article also may be imposed in a county which does not meet the collection requirements of subsection (A) so long as:
- (1) the county only has one school district which encompasses the entire county area in which the tax is to be imposed; and
- (2) the county collected at least one million dollars in state accommodations taxes as imposed pursuant to Section 12-36-920(A) in the most recent fiscal year for which full collection figures are available. Once a county meets this threshold, it thereafter remains eligible to impose this tax pursuant to this subsection."

SECTION 2. Section 4-10-460 of the 1976 Code, as added by Act 316 of 2008, is amended to read:

"Section 4-10-460. The tax authorized in this article may be renewed and imposed within a county in the same manner as proceedings for the initial imposition of the tax. A referendum on the question of reimposition of a tax must not be held more earlier than within the calendar year which is two years before the date upon calendar year in which the tax then in effect is scheduled to terminate, but any reimposition is effective immediately upon the termination of the tax previously imposed."

[940]

1 SECTION 3. This act takes effect upon approval by the Governor.
2 ----XX----